



ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY

PO Box 1328
San Luis Obispo
CA 93406-1328
web: www.a4nr.org
davidweisman@charter.net
805-772-7077
805-704-1810 cell phone

DOE PUBLIC HEARING, LONE PINE CALIFORNIA, NOVEMBER 29, 2007

QUESTIONS FOR DOE RE: YUCCA MOUNTAIN SEIS
SUBMITTED BY ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY:

1) [IS ANY RADIOACTIVE WASTE (CIVILIAN OR OTHERWISE) THAT DID NOT ORIGINATE IN CALIFORNIA GOING TO PASS THROUGH OUR STATE TO GET TO YUCCA MOUNTAIN?? WHAT PERCENTAGE AND HOW MUCH BY VOLUME?

2) IF "NO," WHY DOES THE MAP SHOW THESE ROUTES AND WHAT ARE THEY THERE FOR? IF "NO," WHY IS NOT A CORRECTED MAP PART OF THE EIS AND WHY WAS THIS REVISION NOT NOTICED OR ISSUED PRIOR TO THIS MEETING?

ARE YOU STATING, ON THE RECORD TONIGHT, THAT ONLY WASTE WHICH HAS ORIGINATED IN CALIFORNIA WILL BE TRANSPORTED BY RAIL OR ANY OTHER VEHICLE THROUGH CALIFORNIA?

3) IF ^{NO}~~YES~~, THEN THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS APPLY:

- A) HAS DOE NOTIFIED FIRST RESPONDERS IN EACH COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY THROUGH WHICH THIS WASTE WILL PASS THAT THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE THIS WASTE PASSING THROUGH THEIR AREAS? IF SO, WHO DID YOU SPECIFICALLY NOTIFY AND WHEN DID YOU NOTIFY THEM? HAVE YOU RECEIVED COMMENTS FROM ANY OF THESE CONCERNED PARTIES?
- B) IF YOU DID NOT NOTIFY THEM, WHY NOT? IS THE CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR AWARE OF THESE TRANSPORT ROUTES AND WHICH OTHER STATE AGENCIES AND LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES HAVE YOU BEEN WORKING WITH?]

2 4) [A4NR IS CERTAIN THAT THE DOE IS AWARE THAT SINCE 2004 THERE HAVE BEEN AT LEAST ONE REPORTED RADIOACTIVE WASTE TRANSPORT ACCIDENTS PER YEAR

2004—OAK RIDGE STATE HIWAY 95 5/19/04
2005—BUFFALO, NEW YORK 10/28/05
2006—SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 2/27/06
2007—SHEARON HARRIS 10/26/07

AND WE HAVE YET TO BEGIN TO SHIP THE ACTUAL VAST QUANTITIES OF SPENT FUEL. IF THIS HAPPENS ONCE OR TWICE A YEAR NOW, WHAT ASSURANCES DOES THE PUBLIC HAVE THAT 70,000 TONS OF HIGH LEVEL WASTE CAN BE SHPPED SAFELY THROUGH OUR STATES?

5) IF YOU USED COMPUTER MODELING TO PREDICT FUTURE ACCIDENTS, DID YOUR COMPUTER PREDICT THESE SINCE 2004?

3 6) [WHAT IS DOE PROPOSING TO DO TO REPAIR THE DILAPIDATED STATE OF OUR EXISTING US RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE?

HAS DOE BUDGETED FOR THE TRAINING AND EQUIPING OF FIRST RESPONDERS IN COMMUNITIES ALONG THE ROUTES OF THE WASTE IN THE EVENT OF A RADIOLOGICAL RELEASE? WHO WILL BE PAYING FOR THIS TRAINING AND EQUIPMENT AND WHAT WILL IT COST?

7) IF THE CASKS WEIGH 27 TONS AND THE TRUCK AND TRAILER ADD 13 TONS AND THIS EXCEEDS THE 80,000 POUND LIMIT ON OUR HIGHWAYS...HAS THE DOE CONSIDERED THIS LIMITATION ON THE ROADS BOTH IN CALIFORNIA AND THE USA?

8) DO YOU INTEND TO USE HIGHWAY 127 NORTH FROM BAKER TO NEVADA? HAS THIS ROAD BEEN EVALUATED FOR SUCH POTENTIAL HEAVY USAGE?

9) WHY SHOULD THE WORLD'S SEVENTH LARGEST ECONOMY ACCEPT THESE TRANSPORT RISKS? WHAT GUARANTEES WILL THE DOE MAKE (FOR THAT MATTER, WHAT GUARANTEES HAS THE DOE EVER LIVED UP TO—I.E., ROCKY FLATS, HANFORD, SAVANNAH RIVER, ETC.)?